## On 'Concessions' and Conduct A Study in Early *Ḥadīth*

Traditions about early ritual practices and customs reported on the authority of the Prophet, of his Companions (sahāba) or their Successors (tābi'ūn) are often divergent and even contradictory. Early compilations of hadith occasionally record these traditions in separate chapters with headings which point out their differences; they also enumerate the scholars who held these divergent views. So, for example, the chapter Man kana yutimmu l-takbir is followed by the chapter Man kāna lā yutimmu l-takbīr; the chapter Man qāla laysa 'alā man nāma sājidan wa-qā'idan wudu' is followed by Man kāna yaqūlu idhā nāma fa-l-yatawadda'. Traditions arranged under headings Man kariha ... followed by Man rakhkhasa fi ... are of a similar type. It is obvious that these diverse traditions reflect differences in the opinions of various circles of Muslim scholars and indicate that in the early period of Islam many ritual prescriptions were not yet firmly established.

The rukhas or "concessions," i.e., the changes in ritual prescriptions designed to soften their harshness, were indeed an efficient tool in adapting the prescriptions to the real conditions of life and its changing circumstances. They established practices that were in keeping with the new ideas of Islam. Yet it is evident that the concession, rukhsa, had to acquire authoritative sanction and legitimacy; this could be achieved only through an utterance of the Prophet. As a matter of fact, the following hadīth is attributed to the Prophet: "Truly, God desires that His concessions be carried out [just] as He desires His injunctions to be observed" (inna llāha

yuḥibbu an tu'tā rukhaṣuhu kamā yuḥibbu an tu'tā 'azā'imuhu).¹ This tradition was interpreted in manifold ways. According to one interpretation it implies a whole view of life; al-Shaybānī (died 189/805) states that the believer who restricts himself to the most basic means of subsistence acts according to the prescriptions, whereas pleasant life and delights are for him a concession, a rukhṣa.² The purchase of the arable kharāj land in Iraq by Muslims was approved by 'Umar b. 'Abd al-'Azīz on the ground of a rukhṣa interpretation of a Qur'ānic verse; grants of land in the Sawād, given to Muslims, were also based on rukhṣa precedents.³

<sup>1 &#</sup>x27;Abd al-Razzāg, al-Musannaf, ed. Habīb al-Rahmān al-A'zamī (Beirut: 1392/1972), 11: 291, no. 20569 (=Jāmi' Ma'mar b. Rāshid: ... an vu'mala bi-rukhasihi); Ibn Balban, al-Ihsan fi taqrib sahihi bni Hibban, MS, Br. Mus., Add. 27519, fol. 90a; al-Suvūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr fī l-tafsīr bi-l-ma'thūr (Cairo: 1314), 1: 193; Abū Nu'aym, Hilyat al-awliyā' (Beirut: 1387/1967, reprint), 6: 191 inf., 276, 2: 101 inf. (... an tugbala rukhasuhu); al-Māwardī, al-Amthāl wa-l-hikam, MS Leiden, Or, 655, fol, 87b (... an yu'khadha bi-rukhasihi kamā yuhibbu an yu'khadha bi-farā'idihi), al-Mundhirī, al-Targhīb wa-l-tarhīb, ed. Muhammad Muhvī l-Dīn 'Abd al-Hamīd (Cairo: 1279/1960), 2: 261, no. 1541 (and see ibid. no. 1539: ... an tu'tā rukhasuhu kamā vakrahu an tu'tā ma'sivatuhu; another version; ... kamā yuhibbu an tutraka ma'siyatuhu); al-Munāwī, Fayd al-qadīr, sharh al-jāmi' al-saghīr (Beirut: 1391/1972), 2: 292, no. 1879, 293, no. 1881 (... an tuabala rukhasuhu kamā vuhibbu l-'abdu maghfirat rabbihi; 2: 296, no. 1894: ... Kamā yakrahu an tu'tā ma'siyatuhu); al-Daylamī, Firdaws al-akhbār, Chester Beatty 4139, fol. 53a; al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī, Mūdih awhām al-jam' wa-l-tafrīq (Hyderbad: 1379/1960), 2: 10 (... an tu'tā mayāsiruhu kamā yuhibbu an tu'tā 'azā'imuhu); cf. al-Kulaynī al-Kāfī, ed. Najm al-Dīn al-Āmulī (Tehran: 1388), 1: 208-209, no. 4.

<sup>2</sup> al-Shaybānī, al-Iktisāb fī l-rizqi l-mustatāb, Talkhīs Muḥammad b. Samā'a, ed. Maḥmud 'Arnūs (Cairo: 1357/1938), p. 81: ... fa-sāra l-ḥāsilu anna l-iqtisāra 'alā adnā mā yakfīhi 'azīmatun, wa-mā zāda 'alā dhālika min al-tana" umi wa-l-nayli min al-ladhdhāti rukhsatun, wa-qāla şallā llāhu 'alayhi wa-sallam: inna llāha yuḥibbu an yu'tā bi-rukhasihi ...

<sup>3</sup> Abū 'Ubayd, Kitāb al-amwāl, ed. Muḥammad Ḥāmid al-Fiqī (Cairo: 1353), pp. 84-85; cf. al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā (Hyderabad: 1356), 9: 140-1: "... bāb man kariha shirā'a ardi l-kharāj ..." And see the traditions against buying of kharāj land: Ibn Zanjawayh, al-Amwāl, MS. Burdur 183, fols.

The Prophet is said to have denied believers permission to enter baths, but later granted them a *rukhṣa* to enter them, provided they wore loincloths, *ma'āzir.*<sup>4</sup> There were in fact two contradictory attitudes in the matter of baths: the one disapproving<sup>5</sup> and the other

<sup>29</sup>a-32a (and see e.g. ibid., fol. 30a, inf., "... sami'a l-ḥasana yaqūlu: man khala'a ribqata mu'āhidin fa-ja'alahā fī 'unuqihi fa-qad istaqāla hijratahu wa-wallā l-islāma zahrahu wa-man aqarra bi-shay'in min al-jizyati fa-qad aqarra bi-bābin min abwābi l-kufri").

<sup>4</sup> al-Shawkānī, Nayl al-awtār, sharh muntaqā l-akhbār min ahādīthi sayyidi l-akhyār (Cairo: 1372/1953), 1: 299; Ibn Abī Shayba, al-Musannaf, ed. 'Abd al-Khāliq Khān al-Afghānī (Hyderabad: 1386/1966), 1: 109-110; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 1: 290-296, nos. 1116-1136; al-Fākihī, Ta'rīkh Makka, MS. Leiden Or. 463, fol. 412a; al-Mundhirī, 1: 118-122, nos. 267-278; al-Sharīshī, Sharh maqāmāt al-Ḥarīrī, ed. Muhammad 'Abd al-Mun'im Khafājī (Cairo: 1372/1952), 3: 74; al-Muttaqī 1-Hindī, Kanz al-'ummāl (Hyderabad: 1381/1962), 9: 231-234, nos. 1978-2010; cf. al-Ḥākim, Ma'rifat 'ulūm al-hadīth, ed. Mu'azzam Ḥusayn (Cairo: 1937), p. 98.

<sup>5</sup> See e.g. al-Munāwī, 2: 54, no. 1311: "... uffin li-l-ḥammām ..." enjoins husbands to forbid their wives to enter baths, stresses the filthiness of their water and confines the entrance of men to those wearing the ma'azir; cf. al-Tayālisī, Musnad (Hyderabad: 1321), p. 212, no. 1518: 'Ā'isha reproaches the women from Hims for entering baths. And see Nur al-Din al-Haythami, Majma' al-zawā'id wa-manba' al-fawā'id (Beirut: 1967, reprint), 1: 277-278 (the prohibition for women to enter baths; and see ibid., p. 114: the bath is the abode of the Devil); al-Tabarī, Dhayl al-mudhayyal (Cairo: 1353/1934), 10: 246; al-Dhahabī, Mīzān al-itidāl, ed. 'Alī Muḥammad al-Bajāwī (Cairo: 1382/1963), 3: 631, no. 7889; al-Daylami, MS. Chester Beatty 3037, fol. 90b (the prohibition to enter baths by women is preceded by a prediction of the Prophet that the Muslims will conquer the lands of the 'ajam and will find there "buildings called baths"; a concession at the end of the hadith is granted to women who are ill, or after confinement). And see al-Kattānī, Juz', MS. Chester Beatty 4483, fol. 9b ("... bi'sa l-bayt al-hammām"; the Prophet permitted, however, men to enter the bath wearing the ma'āzir, after being told of the importance of the bath for the cleanness of the body and the treatment of the sick). Cf. Ahmad b. Hanbal, al-'Ilal wa-ma'rifat al-rijāl, ed. Talāt Kocviğit and Ismail Cerrahoğlu (Ankara: 1963), 1: 266, no. 1716 (the prayer in a bath is disliked), 271, no. 1745 ("al-ardu kulluhā masjidun illā l-hammām wa-l-maqbara"). And see the story of Ibn 'Umar who was shocked when he saw the naked men in the bath (Ibn Sa'd,

recommending them.<sup>6</sup> Accordingly scholars are divided in their opinion as to whether the water of the bath can be used for ritual washing, *ghusl*, or whether, on the contrary, *ghusl* has to be performed for cleaning oneself from the very water of the bath.<sup>7</sup>

The knowledge of *rukhas* granted by the Prophet is essential for the proper understanding of the faith and its injunctions. The misinterpretation of the verse: "Those who treasure up gold and

Tabaqāt (Beirut: 1377/1957), 4: 153-154); and see the various Shī'ī traditions in Yūsuf al-Baḥrānī's al-Ḥadā'iq al-nāḍira fī aḥkām al-'itra al-ṭāhira, ed. Muḥammad Taqiyy al-Ayrawānī (Nadjaf: 1378), 5: 528-540.

<sup>6</sup> See al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī, 2: 311, 11.4-5; Ibn al-Sunnī, 'Amal al-yawm wa-l-layla (Hyderabad: 1358), p. 85: "ni'ma l-bayt al-hammām yadkhuluhu l-rajulu l-muslim ..."; al-Daylamī, MS. Chester Beatty 3037, fol. 174b; al-Wassābī al-Ḥabashī, al-Baraka fī fadli l-sa'yi wa-l-haraka (Cairo: n.d.), p. 268; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 1: 279 (a bath was built on the spot approved of by the Prophet). The tradition that the Prophet used to frequent the bath is vehemently refuted by al-Qastallani, as recorded in al-Zurgānī's Sharh al-mawāhib al-ladunivva (Cairo: 1327), 4: 214. Al-Qastallani, quoting the opinion of Ibn Kathir, states that there were no baths in the Arabian peninsula in the time of the Prophet. Al-Khatīb al-Baghdadi, discussing the tradition of Umm al-Darda' about her entering a bath in Medina (Mūdih 1: 359), states that there were no baths in Medina in the period of the Prophet; in that period baths existed only in Syria and Persia (Mūdih 1: 362-364). Cf. al-Suyūtī, al-Hāwī li-l-fatāwī, ed. Muhammad Muhyī l-Dīn 'Abd al-Ḥamīd (Cairo: 1378/1959), 1: 526-528; Ibn 'Asākir, Ta'rīkh (Tahdhīb) (Damascus: 1329), 3: 380; Murtadā al-Zabīdī, Ithāf al-sāda al-muttaqīn bi-sharh asrār ihyā' 'ulūm al-dīn (Cairo: 1311) (reprinted Beirut), 2: 400. On the building of baths in Basra in the early period of Islam and the profits gained from them see al-Baladhuri, Ansab al-ashrāf, 1, ed. Muḥammad Ḥamīdullah (Cairo: 1959): 502; al-Tha'ālibī, Thimār al-qulūb, ed. Abū 1-Fadl Ibrāhīm (Cairo: 1384/1965), p. 318, no. 476.

<sup>7</sup> See Ibn Abī Shayba, 1: 107-108; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 1: 295-298 (see e.g. the answer of Ibn 'Abbās, "innamā ja'ala llāhu l-mā'a yuṭahhiru wa-lā yuṭahharu," ibid., no. 1142; and see the answer of al-Sha'bī when asked, on leaving the bath, whether one is obliged to perforn the ghusl (to clean oneself) from the water of the bath: "So why did I enter the bath?", ibid., no. 1146); and see the outspoken answer of Ibn 'Abbās when he entered a bath in the state of iḥrām: "Mā ya'ba'u llāhu bi-awsākhinā shay'an," al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 5: 63 inf.

silver, and do not expend them in the way of God -- give them good tidings of a painful chastisement ..." (Our'an 9:34) by Abū Dharr is explained by the fact that Abu Dharr met the Prophet and heard from him some injunctions of a severe character (vasma'u min rasūli llāhi [s] l-amra fīhi l-shiddatu); he then left for the desert. The Prophet, in the meantime, alleviated the injunction (vurakhkhisu fihi) and people adopted the concession. But Abu Dharr, unaware of this, came back and adhered to the first (scil. severe) injunction.8 In later periods of Islam the practice of rukhas was presented as the attitude of the first generations of Islam. The righteous predecessors (al-salat), argues Abū Tālib 21-Makkī, were in the habit of alleviating (yurakhkhisūna) the rules of ritual impurity, but were strict in the matter of earning one's living by proper means alone as well as in the moral aspects of behavior like slander, futile talk, excessive indulgence in rhetoric etc., whereas contemporary scholars. Abū Tālib continues, are heedless in problems of moral behavior, but are rigid (shaddadū) with regard to ritual impurity.9 Sufyan al-Thawri speaks about rukhsa in the following terms: "Knowledge in our opinion is merely [the knowledge of] a rukhsa [reported on the authority] of a reliable scholar; the rigid, rigoristic practice can be observed by everyone."10 The pious 'Atā' al-Sulaymī asked for the traditions of rukhas; they might relieve his grief, he said. The rukhas-traditions were of great importance for the strengthening of belief in God's mercy for the believers (husnu l-zanni bi-llāh).<sup>12</sup> Sulaymān b. Tarkhan asked his son to tell him rukhas-traditions in order to come to the Presence of God (literally: to meet God) with hope for God's mercy.13

<sup>8</sup> al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 3: 243.

<sup>9</sup> Abū Ţālib al-Makkī, Qūt al-qulūb (Cairo: 1351/1932), 2: 46.

<sup>10</sup> Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Jāmi' bayān al-'ilm wa-fadlihi (al-Madīna al-munawwara: n.d., reprint), 2: 36: innamā l-'ilmu 'indanā l-rukhṣatu min thiqatin; fa-ammā l-tashdīdu fa-yuhṣinuhu kullu ahadin.

<sup>11</sup> Abū Nu'aym, 6: 217.

<sup>12</sup> See Ibn Abī 1-Dunyā, Majmū'at al-rasā'il (Cairo: 1354/1935), pp. 39-72: kitābu husni l-zanni bi-llāh.

<sup>13</sup> Ibid., p. 45, no. 29; Abū Nu'aym, 3: 31.

In a wider sense rukhas represent in the opinion of Muslim scholars the characteristic way of Islam as opposed to Judaism and Christianity. The phrase "... and he will relieve them of their burden and the fetters that they used to wear" (Our'an 7:157) is interpreted as referring to the Prophet, who removed the burden of excessively harsh practices of worship<sup>14</sup> and of ritual purity.<sup>15</sup> The rigid and excessive practices of worship refer to Jews and Christians alike. The Prophet forbade his believers to follow the harsh and strict way of people who brought upon themselves destruction. The remnants of these people can be found in the cells of monks and in monasteries: this, of course, refers to Christians.<sup>16</sup> These very comments are coupled with the hadith about the rukhas mentioned earlier: inna llāha yuhibbu ... It is thus not surprising to find this rukhas tradition together with an additional phrase: ... fa-qbalū rukhasa llāhi wa-lā takūnū ka-banī isrā'īla hīna shaddadū 'alā antusihim ta-shaddada llāhu 'alavhim.17

The rukhşa tradition is indeed recorded in chapters condemning hardship in the exertion of worship and ritual practices, 18 stressing the benevolence of God for His creatures even if they commit grave sins, reproving cruelty even towards a cat, 19

<sup>14 ...</sup> al-tathqīlu lladhī kāna fī dīnihim ... al-tashdīdu fī l-'ibādati ... al-shadā'idu llatī kānat 'alayhim ... tashdīdun shuddida 'alā l-qawmi, fa-jā'a Muḥammadun (ş) bi-l-tajāwuzi 'anhum.

<sup>15</sup> al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 3: 135; al-Tabarī, Tafsīr, ed. Maḥmūd and Aḥmad Shākir (Cairo: 1958), 13: 167-168; al-Qurtubī, Tafsīr, (Cairo: 1387/1967), 7: 300; Hāshim b. Sulaymān al-Baḥrānī al-Tawbalī al-Katakānī, al-Burhān fī tafsīri l-qur'ān (Qumm: 1393), 2: 40, no. 3.

<sup>16</sup> al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 1: 193.

<sup>17</sup> al-'Āmilī, al-Kashkūl, ed. Tāhir Ahmad al-Zāwī (Cairo: 1380/1960). 1: 221.

<sup>18</sup> See Ibn Balbān, fol. 90a-b, the headings: ... dhikru l-ikhbāri 'ammā yustaḥabbu li-l-mar'i min qubūli mā rukhkhişa lahu bi-tarki l-taḥammuli 'alā l-nafsi mā lā tuṭīqu min al-ṭā'āti ...; al-ikhbāru bi-anna 'alā l-mar'i qubūla rukhṣati llāhi lahu fī ṭā'atihi dūna l-taḥammuli 'alā l-nafsi mā yashuqqu 'alayhā ḥamluhu ...; ... mā yustaḥabbu li-l-mar'i l-taraffuqu bi-l-ṭā'āti wa-al-amru bi-l-qaṣdi fī l-ṭā'āti dūna an yuḥmala 'alā l-nafsi mā lā tuṭīqu.

<sup>19</sup> See 'Abd al-Razzāq, 11, no. 20549. The authenticity of the story of the woman who was put in Hell because she caused the death of a cat, was

and recommending leniency, moderation and mildness towards the believers. Rukhşa is rukhşatu llāh, God's concession for His community; it imposes on the believers kindness and moderation towards each other. Rukhşa is in this context associated with rifq, yusr, samāha and qaṣd.<sup>20</sup>

In a different context a concession, rukhṣa, is meant to ease the burden of the decreed prescription (al-hukm) for an excusable reason (li-'udhrin haṣala); the acceptance of rukhṣa is almost obligatory in such a case (yakādu yulhaqu bi-l-wujūb); the believer must act according to the rukhṣa, subduing his pride and haughtiness.<sup>21</sup> Breaking the fast of ṣawm al-dahr is such a rukhṣa; continuing the fast is stubborness.<sup>22</sup> Commenting on the hadīth "The best of my people are those who act according to the rukhaṣ," al-Munāwī stresses that the rukhaṣ apply to specific times only; otherwise one should follow the incumbent prescription.<sup>23</sup> The hadīth "He who does not accept the concession of God will bear a sin as heavy as the mountains of 'Arafāt" <sup>24</sup> was quoted in connection with a concession according to which it is recommended

questioned by 'Ā'isha. She asserted that the woman was an unbeliever, a  $k\bar{a}fira$ . The believer is more respected by God (akramu 'inda  $ll\bar{a}hi$ ) than that He would chastise him because of a cat, she argued. She rebuked Abū Hurayra, the transmitter of the  $had\bar{\imath}th$ , and bade him to transmit the tradition more accurately. See al-Zarkashī,  $al-Ij\bar{a}ba$   $li-\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}di$   $m\bar{a}$  stadrakat-hu 'Ā'ishatu 'alā  $l-sah\bar{a}ba$  (Cairo: n.d.), p. 61; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 1: 116; and see Ibn 'Abd al-Ḥakam, Futūh misr, ed. C. Torrey (Leiden: 1920), p. 292; Hannād b. al-Sariyy, Kitāb al-zuhd, MS. Princeton, Garret 1419, fol. 101a, inf. -101b.

<sup>20</sup> See 'Abd al-Razzāq, 11: 282-288, nos. 20546; 20559 (Bāb al-rukhaş wa-l-shadā'id) and 11: 290-292, nos. 20566-20574 (Bāb al-rukhaş fī l-'amal wa-l-qaşd).

<sup>21</sup> al-Munāwī, 2: 296-297; and see ibid., pp. 292-293 (see the commentary: the 'azīma, injunction, order, has an equal standing with the rukhṣa. According to the circumstances the ordained wudū' is as obligatory as the rukhṣa of tayammum). And see ibid., p. 293: the concessions have to be carried out according to the circumstances for which they were given.

<sup>22</sup> Abū Tālib al-Makkī, 1: 111.

<sup>23</sup> al-Munāwī, 2: 51, no. 1300; al-Daylamī, MS. Chester Beatty 4139, fol. 94b.

<sup>24</sup> Ibn 'Abd al-Ḥakam, p. 292; al-Munāwī, 6: 225, no. 9031; al-Daylamī, MS.

to break the fast when on a journey. The core of the discussion was whether the breaking of the fast during a journey is obligatory or merely permitted. Some scholars considered it as a rukhṣa.<sup>25</sup> The phrase in Qur'ān 2:187 "... and seek what God had prescribed for you" (fa-l-āna bāshirūhunna wa-btaghū mā kataba llāhu lakum) indicates, according to one interpretation, God's concession concerning the nights of Ramadān.<sup>26</sup> The phrase in Qur'ān 2:158 ... fa-lā junāha 'alayhi an yattawwafa bihimā ... ("... it is no fault in him to circumambulate them ..."), referring to the circumambulation of al-Ṣafā and Marwa, gave rise to the discussion whether it indicated an order or a concession.<sup>27</sup> The bewailing of the dead by hired women, the niyāha, is forbidden; but the Prophet granted the afflicted relatives the rukhṣa to mourn the dead and to weep over a dead person's grave.<sup>28</sup>

Chester Beatty 3037, fol. 158b.

<sup>25</sup> al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 1: 193; Ibn 'Abd al-Hakam, p. 265; Aḥmad b. Hanbal, Musnad, ed. Shākir (Cairo: 1368/1949), 8: 238, no. 5392; al-Dhahabī, 2: 483; Ibn Kathīr, Tafsīr (Beirut: 1385/1966), 1: 382; cf. al-Tabarī, Tafsīr 3: 461-469 (see p. 460: al-iftāru fī l-maradi 'azmatun min allāhi wājibatun wa-laysa bi-tarkhīs; and see p. 464: al-iftāru fī l-safari rukhṣatun min allāhi ta'ālā dhikruhu, rakhkhaṣahā li-'ibādihi wa-l-fardu l-ṣawmu ...); Ibn Balbān, fol. 90b, sup; al-Sha'rānī, Lawāqih al-anwār (Cairo: 1381/1961), pp. 716-717; al-Mundhirī, 2: 258-262; Ibn Qutayba, Ta'wīl mukhtalif al-hadīth (Cairo: 1326), pp. 307-308; al-Zurqānī, Sharh al-muwaṭṭa' (Cairo: 1381/1961), 2: 415-420.

<sup>26</sup> al-Țabarī, Tafsīr, 3: 500 ult., 508; Ibn Kathīr, Tafsīr, 1: 390, line 5 from bottom; al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 1: 199, line 1.

<sup>27</sup> See al-Tabarī, Tafsīr, 3: 230-246; al-Qurtubī, 2: 182 (and see ibid., about the reading: fa-lā junāha 'alayhi an lā yattawwafa); al-Majlisī, Bihār al-anwār (Tehran: 1388), 99: 235, 237-8, 239 line 2; al-Zarkashī, al-Ijāba, pp. 78-9; al-Fākihī, fols. 374b-380a; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 5: 96-8; Amīn Maḥmūd Khaṭṭāb, Fath al-malik al-ma'būd, takmilat al-manhal al-'adhb al-mawrūd, sharh sunan abī dāwūd (Cairo: 1394/1974), 1: 243-50, 2: 15-16.

<sup>28</sup> al-Ḥākim, al-Mustadrak (Hyderabad: 1342), 1: 203; al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, Mūdih, 2: 12 sup.; al-Zajjjājī, Amālī, ed. 'Abd al-Salām Hārūn (Cairo: 1382), p. 181 (... wa-kadhālika al-naq'u: raf'u l-şawti bi-l-bukā'i; wa-hādhā kāna manhiyyan 'anhu fī awwali l-islāmi--a'nī l-bukā'a 'alā l-mayyit, thumma rukhkhişa fīhi ...; al-Rāghib al-Işfahānī, Muḥāḍarāt al-udabā' (Beirut: 1961),

In some cases the choice between the prescription and the rukhsa has been left to the believer: such is the case of the ablution of the junub. Three traditions about how the Prophet practised  $wud\bar{u}'$  ablution, when in the state of  $jan\bar{a}ba$  contain contradictory details: two of them state that he, being a junub, performed the  $wud\bar{u}'$  before he went to sleep, while the third one says that he went to sleep without performing  $wud\bar{u}'$ . Ibn Qutayba, trying to bridge between the contradictory traditions, states that in a state of  $jan\bar{a}ba$  washing before one goes to sleep is the preferred practice (afdal); by not washing the Prophet pointed to the  $rukhsa.^{29}$  The believer may choose one of the two practices.

In some cases the *rukhşa* completely reverses a former prohibition. The Prophet forbade the visiting of graves, but later changed his decision and granted a *rukhşa* to visit them: *nahā* rasūlu llāhi [s] 'an ziyārati l-qubūri thumma rakhkhaşa fīhā ba'du.<sup>30</sup>

Cupping during a fast was forbidden by the Prophet; both the cupper and the person whose blood was drawn were considered to have broken their fast. The Prophet, however, changed his decision and granted a *rukhşa*; cupping did not stop the fast.<sup>31</sup>

Lengthy chapters contain discussions of the problem as to whether kissing one's wife while fasting is permitted. Some scholars considered kissing or touching the body of the wife as breaking the fast, others considered it permissible. Both parties quote traditions in support of their arguments. The wives of the Prophet, who

<sup>4: 506;</sup> Ibn Abī Shayba, 3: 389-395; al-Ţabarānī, al-Mu'jam al-şaghīr, ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān Muḥammad 'Uthmān (al-Madīna al-munawwara: 1388/1968), 2: 82 (noteworthy is the report of Ibn Abī Shayba 3: 391 about the faqīh Abū l-Bakhtarī: ... kanā rajulan faqīhan wa-kāna yasma'u l-nawh); Maḥmūd Muḥammad Khatṭāb al-Subkī, al-Manhal al-'adhb al-mawrūd, 8: 281-4; al-Zarkashī, al-I jāba, pp. 34, 50-1.

<sup>29</sup> Ibn Qutayba, pp. 305-6.

<sup>30</sup> al-Ḥāzimī, al-I'tibār fī bayāni l-nāsikh wa-l-mansūkh min al-akhbār (Hyderabad: 1359), pp. 130-1, 228; al-Fākihī, fol. 478b, 479 penult.

<sup>31</sup> Ibn Daqīq al-'Īd, al-Ilmām bi-ahādīthi l-ahkām, ed. Muḥammad Sa'īd al-Mawlawī (Damascus: 1383/1963), p. 244, no. 592; al-Zurqānī, Sharh al-muwatta', 2: 428-30; al-Ḥāzimī, pp. 137-42.

testified as to their experience, were not unanimous about the problem. 'Ā'isha's evidence was in favor of kissing. The statement that old and weak people may kiss their wives, while men may not, is an obvious attempt at harmonization.<sup>32</sup>

A similar problem was whether kissing one's wife imposes  $wud\bar{u}$ . Scholars were divided in their opinions. 'A'isha testified that the Prophet used to kiss his wives and set out to pray without performing ablution. Many scholars stated that kissing or touching one's wife does not require  $wud\bar{u}$ , but others argued that it does. Some scholars found a compromise:  $wud\bar{u}$  is required if the kiss is accompanied by a feeling of lust.<sup>33</sup>

The rukhas, apparently, were exploited by scholars attached to rulers and governors. As usual precedents of wicked court-scholars in the period of banū isrā'īl were quoted: they frequented the courts of kings, granted them the required rukhas and, of course, got rewards for their deeds. They were happy to receive the rewards and to have the kings accept their

<sup>32</sup> al-Taḥāwī, Sharh ma'ānī l-āthār, ed. Muhammad Zuhrī l-Najjār (Cairo: 1388/1968), 2: 88-96; Ibn Abī Shayba, 3: 59-64; al-Bayhaqī, Ma'rifat al-sunan wa-l-āthār, ed. Ahmad Şaqr (Cairo: 1969), 1: 21 sup.; Ibn Qutayba, pp. 308-9; al-Dhahabī 2: 398 sup.; Abū Nu'aym, 7: 138; al-Zarkashī, al-I jāba, p. 54; al-Zurgānī, Sharh al-muwatta', 2: 410-15; 'Abd al-Razzāg, 4: 182-94, nos. 8406-8456. See e.g. nos. 8412, 8418; kissing during the fast was considered as rukhşa; against the rigid prohibition to look at a woman (see e.g. nos. 8452-8453) there are traditions permitting much more than kissing (see e.g. no. 8444 and the extremely permissive tradition no. 8439); and see Abū Nu'aym, 9: 309 (kullu shay'in laka min ahlika halālun fī l-siyāmi illā mā bayna l-rijlayn); and see this tradition al-Daylamī, MS. Chester Beatty 3037, fol. 120b, 1.1; al-Muttagī 1-Hindī, 8: 384-5, nos. 2787-2793; Ibn Dagīg al-'Īd, pp. 243-4, nos. 590-1; al-Kattānī, MS. Chester Beatty 4483, fol. 3a; al-Shāfi'ī, al-Umm (Cairo: 1321 reprint), 2: 84 sup.; Maḥmūd Muḥammad al-Subkī, al-Manhal al-'adhb al-mawrūd, sharh sunan abī dāwūd (Cairo: 1390), 10: 109-13, 115-16; Ibn Abī Hātim, 'Ilal al-hadīth (Cairo: 1343 reprint), 1: 47, no. 108.

<sup>33</sup> Ibn Abī Shayba, 1: 44 (man qāla: laysa fī l-qubla wudū'), 45 (man qāla: fīhā l-wudū'); 'Abd al-Razzāq, 1: 132-6, nos. 496-515; al-Ḥākim, al-Mustadrak, 1: 135; al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 1: 230-3; al-Zurqānī, Sharḥ al-muwaṭṭa', 1: 129-30; Ibn Abī Ḥātim, 1: 48, nos. 109-110, 63 no. 166.

concessions. The verse in Qur'an 3:189 "Reckon not that those who rejoice in what they have brought, and love to be praised for what they have not done -- do not reckon them secure from chastisement ..." refers, according to one tradition, to these scholars.<sup>34</sup> Orthodox, pious scholars fiercely criticized the Umayyad court-jurists and muhaddithūn.35 The fugahā' seem to have been liberal in granting rukhas, as can be gauged from a remark of the pious Sulaymān b. Tarkhān (who himself very much appreciated the granted rukhas, see above note 13) that anyone who would adopt every rukhsa of the fuqahā' would turn out a libertine.<sup>36</sup> In order to assess the actions of rulers it became quite important to find out to what extent they made use of rukhas. 'Umar is said to have asked Muhājirs and Ansārīs in his council what their opinion would be if he applied rukhas in some problems. Those attending remained silent for a time and then Bishr b. Sa'id said: "We would make you straight as we make straight an arrow." 'Umar then said with approval: "You are as you are" (i.e., you are the proper men).<sup>37</sup> When al-Mansur bade Malik b. Anas to compile the Muwatta' he advised him to stick to the tenets agreed upon the Muslim community and to beware of the rigoristic opinions of Ibn 'Umar, the rukhas of Ibn 'Abbas and shawadhdh (readings of the Our'an) of Ibn Mas'ūd.38

<sup>34</sup> al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 2: 109 inf.

<sup>35</sup> Ibn 'Asākir, 6: 218: ... fa-ataw l-umarā'a fa-haddathūhum fa-rakhkhasū lahum, wa-a'tawhum fa-qabilū minhum ...; al-Qādī 'Iyād, Tartīb al-madārik, ed. Ahmad Bakīr Mahmūd (Beirut: 1387/1967), 1-2, 616 (Sahnūn): ... wa-balaghanī annahum yuḥaddithūnahum min al-rukhas mā yuḥibbūna, mimmā laysa 'alayhi l-'amalu ...; al-Dhahabī, 1: 14 inf: ... ilā kam tuḥaddithu l-nāsa bi-l-rukhasī? ...; and see al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 3, 139.

<sup>36</sup> Abū Nu'aym, 3:32; al-Rāghib al-Isfahānī, 1: 133: ... man akhadha bi-rukhṣati kulli faqīhin kharaja minhu fāsiq. And See Ahmad b. Hanbal, 'Ilal, 1: 238, no. 1499: Mālik, asked about the rukhas of singing granted by some people of Medina, said: "In our place the libertines behave in this way."

<sup>37</sup> Muş'ab b. 'Abdallāh, Ḥadīth, MS. Chester Beatty 3849/4 (majmū'a), fol. 44b, inf.-45a (the text: antum idhan antum); al-Muttaqī al-Hindī, 5: 405 inf., no. 2414 (the text: antum idhan antum idhan).

<sup>38 &#</sup>x27;Abd al-Malik b. Ḥabīb, Ta'rīkh, MS. Bodley. Marsh. 288, p. 167: ... wa-qāla

Many a rukhsa indeed served to regulate relations between people, establish certain privileges for the weak and disabled, to alleviate some rigorous practices and finally, in some cases, to turn Jāhilī practices into Muslim ones by providing them with a new theoretical basis. Al-Hākim al-Naysābūrī<sup>39</sup> says that the Prophet's command to Zayd b. Thabit to learn the writing of the Jews (kitābat al-vahūd) in order to be able to answer their letters, serves as the only rukhsa permitting the study of the writings of the People of the Book. Weak and disabled people were given special instructions on how more easily to perform certain practices during the pilgrimage.<sup>40</sup> The Prophet enjoined that the ritual ablution (wudu') should start with the right hand; but a rukhşa was granted to start from the left.<sup>41</sup> The cutting of trees and plants was forbidden in the haram of Mecca, but the Prophet allowed as a rukhşa the idhkhir rush (schoenantum) to be cut since it was used in graves and for purification.<sup>42</sup> A special rukhşa was given by the Prophet to take freely the meat of animals sacrificed by him; the nuhba (plunder) of sugar and nuts at weddings was also permitted by the Prophet.<sup>43</sup> A rukhşa was issued by the Prophet allowing use of gold and silver for the embellishment of swords, for the repair and fastening of damaged cups and vessels, for a treatment in

abū ja'farin al-manşūru li-māliki bni anasin hīna amarahu bi-wad'i muwatta'ihi: yā abā 'abdi llāhi ttaqi shadā'ida bni 'umara wa-rukhaşa bni 'abbāsin wa-shawādhdha bni mas'ūdin wa-'alayka bi-l-amri l-mujtama'i 'alayhi.

<sup>39</sup> al-Ḥākim, al-Mustadrak, 1: 75.

<sup>40</sup> al-Taḥāwī, Sharh ma'ānī, 2: 215-218.

<sup>41</sup> al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1: 86-87.

<sup>42</sup> al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ al-buldān, ed. 'Abdallah and 'Umar al-Tabbā' (Beirut: 1377/1958), p. 58, 1.3.

<sup>43</sup> Abū 'Ubayd, Gharību l-ḥadīth (Hyderabad: 1384/1965), 2: 54; al-Ṭaḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 3: 49-50; al-Zurqānī, Sharḥ al-mawāhib; 4: 325 inf. -326; al-Fasawī, al-Ma'rifa wa-l-ta'rīkh, MS. Esad Ef. 2391, fol. 32a, sup. ('an ibni mas'ūdin annahu kariha nihāba l-sukkar).

dentistry and for the restitution of a cut nose.<sup>44</sup> The Prophet uttered a rukhsa about the  $nab\bar{\imath}dh$  of jars;<sup>45</sup> the use of jars for  $nab\bar{\imath}dh$  (steeping of dates) was forbidden before that. The muttering of healing incantations, the ruqya, a current practice in the Jähiliyya period, was forbidden by the Prophet. Later he fixed the formulae of these healing incantations for various kinds of illnesses, bites from snakes and scorpions, and the evil eye, giving them an Islamic character.<sup>46</sup> This was, of course, a rukhsa of the Prophet.

It is also a *rukhşa* to denounce Islam in case of danger to one's life. Two Muslims were captured by a troop of Musaylima and were ordered to attest the prophethood of Musaylima. One of them refused and was killed; the other complied and saved his life. When he came to the Prophet, the Prophet said that he had chosen the way of the *rukhşa*.<sup>47</sup>

The discussion of a rukhşa could, in certain circumstances, turn into a bitter dispute. 'Uthmān disapproved of the tamattu' pilgrimage.<sup>48</sup> 'Alī, who was at the council of 'Uthmān, opposed this opinion fiercely, arguing that tamattu' was a sunna of the Prophet and a rukhşa granted by God to his servants. 'Uthmān

<sup>44</sup> al-Țaḥāwī, Mushkil al-āthār (Hyderabad: 1333), 2: 166-179; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 5: 147-151; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1: 28-30.

<sup>45</sup> al-Ḥākim, Ma'rifat 'ulūm, p. 196 sup.; al-Ḥāzimī, pp. 228-230.

<sup>46</sup> Ibn Wahb, Jāmi', ed. J. David-Weill (Cairo: 1939), pp. 103-106; al-Ṭaḥāwī, Sharh ma'ānī, 4: 326-329; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 5: 109-114; al-Zurqānī, Sharh al-muwatta', 6: 348-350; idem, Sharh al-mawāhib, 7: 68-82; al-Waṣṣābi, al-Baraka, pp. 268-270; Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya, al-Tibb al-nabawī, ed. 'Abd al-Ghanī 'Abd al-Khāliq, 'Ādil al-Azharī, Maḥmūd Faraj al-'Uqda (Cairo: 1377/1957), pp. 127, 131 inf.-147; idem, Zād al-ma'ād (Beirut: n.d.), 3: 116-125; al-Damīrī, Ḥayāt al-ḥayawān (Cairo: 1383/1963), 2: 139-140; al-Tha'ālibī, Thimār al-qulūb, pp. 126, no. 672, 431, no. 690.

<sup>47</sup> al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 4: 133.

<sup>48</sup> On the tamattu' pilgrimage see e.g. Ibn Ḥazm, Hajjat al-wadā', ed. Mamdūh Ḥaqqī (Beirut: 1966), pp. 49, 89, 90, 102; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 3: 236; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 5: 15-26.

excused himself saying that he had merely expressed his personal opinion which anybody could accept or reject. A man from Syria who attended the council and disliked 'Alī's argument said that he would be ready to kill 'Alī, if ordered to do so by the Caliph, 'Uthmān. He was silenced by Ḥabīb b. Maslama<sup>49</sup> who explained to him that the Companions of the Prophet knew better the matter in which they differed.<sup>50</sup> This remark of Ḥabīb b. Maslama is a projection of later discussions and represents the attitude of orthodox circles which recommend refraining from passing judgement on the contradictory arguments of the sahāba. However the passage also reflects the contrasting ways in which the pilgrimage was performed. It is noteworthy that Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya wrote lengthy passages in which he examined in a thorough manner the contradictory opinions of the scholars about the tamattu' pilgrimage.<sup>51</sup>

Close to the concept of rukh sa was the idea of naskh, abrogation, total change, referring to  $had\bar{\iota}th$ . Such a case of naskh is the practice of  $wud\bar{\iota}u$  after the consumption of food prepared on fire. The Prophet is said to have uttered a hadith:  $tawadda'\bar{\iota}u$   $mimm\bar{a}$  massat  $al-n\bar{a}r$ . A great number of traditions assert that the Prophet later used to eat cooked food and immediately afterwards prayed without performing the  $wud\bar{\iota}u$ . The traditions concerning this subject are found in some of the compendia arranged in two separate chapters, recording the opinions and deeds of the righteous predecessors who respectively practised  $wud\bar{\iota}u$  or objected to it. 52

<sup>49</sup> See on him al-Fāsī, al-'Iqd al-thamīn fī ta'rīkhi l-baladi l-amīn, ed. Fu'ād Sayyid (Cairo: 1384/1965), 4: 49-52; Naṣr b. Muzāḥim, Waq'at Şiffīn, ed. 'Abd al-Salām Hārūn (Cairo: 1382), index; Ibn Ḥajar, al-Iṣāba, ed. 'Alī Muḥammad al-Bajāwī (Cairo: 1392/1972), 2: 24-26, no. 1602.

<sup>50</sup> Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *Jāmi' bayān*, 2: 30; cf. al-Zurqānī, *Sharh al-muwaţta'*, 3: 52 (and see pp. 48-51); al-Muttaqī l-Hindī, 5: 83, no. 678, 88, no. 704.

<sup>51</sup> Zād al-ma'ād, 1: 188-191, 203-18.

<sup>52 &#</sup>x27;Abd al-Razzāq 1: 163-171 (man qāla lā yutawadda'u mimmā massat al-nār), pp. 172-174 (mā jā'a fīmā massat al-nār min al-shidda); Ibn Abī Shayba, 1: 46-52 (man kāna lā yatawadda'u mimmā massat al-nār; man kāna yarā l-wudū'a mimmā ghayyarat al-nār); al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1: 153-158; al-Ḥāzimī, pp. 46-52; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 1: 248-249 (al-wudū'

The arguments brought forth by the partisans of both groups and the traditions reported by them may elucidate some aspects of the problem under discussion. According to a tradition, reported by al-Hasan b. 'Alī, the Prophet was invited by Fātima and was served the shoulder of a ewe. He ate and immediately afterwards started to pray. Fātima asked him why he had not performed the wudū' and the Prophet answered, obviously surprised, "[To wash] after what, o my daughter?" She said, "[To wash] after a meal touched by fire." Then he said, "The purest food is that touched by fire."53 A similar tradition is recorded on the authority of 'A'isha. When she asked the Prophet why he did not perform the wudu' after eating meat and bread he answered, "Shall I perform the wudu' after the two best things: bread and meat?"54 There is a tradition on the authority of Umm Habība, the wife of the Prophet, who had ordered the performance of wudu' after having eaten gruel of parched barley (sawia) on the grounds of the hadith: Tawadda'ū mimmā massat al-nār,55 but traditions recorded on the authority of Şafiyya, Umm Salama and the Companions of the Prophet affirm that the Prophet prayed after eating cooked food without performing the  $wud\bar{u}^{.56}$  The scholars who deny the obligation of wudu' after the consumption of meals state that the principle established by the Prophet was that  $wud\bar{u}'$  is obligatory

mimmā massat al-nār), pp. 251-254 (tarku l-wudū' mimmā massat al-nār); al-Ţaḥāwī, Sharh ma'ānī, 1: 62-70; Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, al-'Ilal, 1: 305, nos. 1984-1985, 317, no. 2062, 366, no. 2424; al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 1: 245-247, al-Fasawī, fol. 229a; Abū Yūsuf, Kitāb al-āthār, ed. Abū l-Wafā (Cairo: 1355), pp. 9-11, nos. 41-50; al-Ḥākim, Ma'rifat 'ulūm, pp. 30, 217; al-Bayhaqī, Ma'rifat al-sunan, 1: 401; Ibn Sa'd, 7: 158; al-Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr (reprint), I, 2 no. 1543, III, 2 nos. 2361, 2805; Abū Nu'aym, 5: 363; Ibn 'Asākir, 6: 125, 174, 321; al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, Ta'rīkh Baghdād (Cairo: 1351/1931), 13: 100; Ibn Ḥajar, al-Isāba, 3: 263, no. 3701, 8: 248, no. 12125; Ibn Ḥibbān, Kitāb al-majrūḥīn, ed. 'Azīz al-Qādirī (Hyderabad: 1390/1970), 2: 173.

<sup>53</sup> Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 1: 252 inf.-253.

<sup>54</sup> al-Dhahabī, 3: 243, no. 6270.

<sup>55</sup> Ibn Abī l-Jawṣā', Ḥadīth, al-Zāhiriyya, Majmū'a 60, fol. 64b.

<sup>56</sup> al-Taḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 1: 65.

after what comes out (of the body) not after food taken in.<sup>57</sup> Ibn 'Abbas, who authoritatively stated that there is no injunction of wudu' after food prepared on fire, argued that fire is a blessing; fire does not make anything either forbidden or permitted.<sup>58</sup> On the authority of Mu'adh b. Jabal, a Companion of the Prophet and a very indulgent person in matters of ablutions, who stated that no ablution is needed in case of vomiting, bleeding of the nose or when touching the genitalia, the following philological explanation is given: people had indeed heard from the Prophet the utterance: tawadda'ū mimmā massat al-nār, but they did not understand the Prophet's meaning. In the time of the Prophet people called the washing of hands and mouth wudu'; the Prophet's words simply imply the washing of hands and mouth for cleanliness (li-l-tanzif); this washing is by no means obligatory (wājib) in the sense of ritual ablution.<sup>59</sup> There are in fact traditions stating that the Prophet ate meat, then rinsed his mouth, washed his hands and started to pray.<sup>60</sup> Another tradition links the abolition of the Prophet's injunction of this wudu' with the person of Anas b. Mālik, the servant of the Prophet, and puts the blame for the persistence of wudu' after the consumption of cooked food on authorities outside Medina. Anas b. Mālik returned from al-Iraq and sat down to have his meal with two men of Medina. After the meal he came forth to perform the wudū'. His companions blamed him, asking: "Are you

<sup>57</sup> Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 1: 252; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1: 157 inf.; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 1: 170-171, nos. 658, 663; al-Ţaḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 1: 69.

<sup>58 &#</sup>x27;Abd al-Razzāq, 1: 168-169, nos. 653, 655-656; al-Bayhaqī, *al-Sunan al-kubrā*, 1: 158, lines 4-5; al-Tahāwī, *Sharh ma'ān*ī, 1: 70 sup.

<sup>59</sup> al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1: 141; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 1: 252 ult.-253, line 1; al-Sharīf al-Murtadā, Amālī, ed. Muḥammad Abū l-Fadl Ibrāhīm (Cairo: 1373/1954), 1: 395-396.

<sup>60</sup> al-Taḥāwī, Sharh ma'ānī, 1: 66, 68; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1: 157; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 1: 252, lines 12-15, 254, line 8 and line 18; Muḥammad b. Sinān al-Qazzāz, Hadīth, al-Zāhiriyya, Majmū'a 18, fol. 2a; Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Qaṭṭān, al-Fawā'id, al-Zāhiriyya, Majmū'a 18, fol. 24a inf.

following the Iraqi way?"61 This story implies that in the practice of Medina no  $wud\bar{u}$  was observed after eating cooked meals. The emphasis that Anas's practice was Iraqi is noteworthy. It can hardly be conceived that the Iraqis stuck to the earlier practice of the Prophet which was later abrogated by him. It is more plausible to assume that Anas adopted an Iraqi usage observed there since the Sasanian period. The severe reproach which Anas faced seems to indicate that it was a foreign custom, considered as a reprehensible innovation by the Muslim community.62

The lenient character of the abrogation of  $wud\bar{u}'$  after eating food prepared on fire is exposed in a tradition reporting that the Prophet ate roast meat, performed the  $wud\bar{u}'$  and prayed; later he turned to eat the meat that was left over, consumed it and set to pray the afternoon prayer without performing  $wud\bar{u}'$  at all.<sup>63</sup> It is evident that his later action  $(\bar{a}khiru\ amrayhi)$  is the one to be adopted by the community, as it constitutes an abrogation, naskh, of the former tradition, although some scholars consider it as rukhsa.

The problem of  $wud\bar{u}'$   $mimm\bar{a}$  massat  $al-n\bar{a}r$  was left in fact to the inventiveness of the  $fuqah\bar{a}'$  of later centuries; it becomes still more complicated by an additional  $had\bar{\iota}th$  according to which the Prophet enjoined  $wud\bar{u}'$  after the consumption of the meat of camels, but did not regard  $wud\bar{u}'$  as necessary after eating the meat of small cattle (ghanam). The two chapters in the Musannaf of Ibn Abī Shayba about  $wud\bar{u}'$  after consuming meat of

<sup>61</sup> al-Țaḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 1: 69; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1: 158 (Anas regrets his mistake and wishes he had not done it: laytanī lam af al); 'Abd al-Razzāq, 1: 170, no. 659; al-Zurqānī, Sharḥ al-muwaṭṭa', 1: 88 inf.-89.

<sup>62</sup> See 'Abd al-Razzāq, 1: 170, no. 659: ... mā hādhihi l-'irāqiyyatu llatī ahdathtahā ...?

<sup>63</sup> al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 1: 247; al-Ḥākim, Ma'rifat 'ulūm, p. 85; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1: 156; al-Ṭaḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 1: 67; al-Bayhaqī, Ma'rifat al-sunan, 1: 395, 401, lines 1-2; Ibn 'Asākir, 6: 321.

<sup>64</sup> Ibn Abī Shayba, 1: 46-7; al-Ṭaḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 1: 70-1; al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 1: 237-9; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 1: 158-9; idem, Ma'rifat al-sunan, 1: 402-6; Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya, I'lām al-muwaqqīīn 'an rabbi l-'ālamīn, ed. Ṭāhā 'Abd al-Ra'ūf Sa'd (Cairo: 1973), 2: 15-16, 106; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 1: 250.

camels, contradictory as they are, bear additional evidence to the diversity of practice and usage, and to the divergencies in opinions held by the scholars of  $had\bar{\imath}th$ . No less divergent are the views of the scholars about the  $wud\bar{u}'$  before the consumption of food, 65 the confinement of  $wud\bar{u}'$ , as an obligatory act, before prayer only, the question whether ablution before every prayer was obligatory for the Prophet only, 66 and whether the  $wud\bar{u}'$  may be replaced as a concession by cleaning the mouth with a toothpick. 67

The great number of diverse traditions, merely hinted at above, clearly indicate that the formation of a normative code of ritual and usage began relatively late.

A survey of some traditions about the *tawāf*, the circumambulation of the Ka'ba, and certain practices of the *hajj* may shed some light on the peculiar observances and customs followed in the early period and may explain how they were later regulated, transformed or established.

The tawāf was equated by the Prophet with prayer (salāt). In an utterance attributed to him the Prophet said, "The tawāf is indeed like a prayer; when you circumambulate diminish your talk."68 In another version of this hadīth the Prophet, making

<sup>65</sup> See al-Zurqānī, Sharh al-mawāhib, 4: 352 (... barakat al-ta'ām al-wudū' qablahu; and see the interpretation).

<sup>66</sup> See al-Zurqānī, Sharh al-mawāhib, 7: 247, lines 24-30 (... fa'altuhu yā 'umaru--ya'nī li-bayāni l-jawāzi li-l-nāsi wa-khawfa an yu'taqada wujūbu mā kāna yaf'alu min al-wudū'i li-kulli şalātin; wa-qīla innahu nāsikhun li-wujūbi dhālika, wa-ta'aqqaba bi-qawli anasin: kāna khāsşan bihi dūna ummatihi wa-annahu kāna yaf'aluhu li-l-fadīla ...).

<sup>67</sup> Ibid., 7: 248, line 1 seq. Concerning the concept of Sufī rukhas cf. M. Milson, A Sufi Rule for Novices, Kitāb adab al-murīdīn (Harvard: 1975), pp. 72-82; and see his discussion on the subject in the Introduction, pp. 19-20.

<sup>68 &#</sup>x27;Abd al-Razzāq, 5: 496; al-Qastallānī, Irshād al-sārī, (Cairo: 1323), 3: 173-4; al-Nasā'ī, Sunan, ed. Hasan al-Mas'ūdī (Beirut: n.d.), 5: 222; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 5: 85; Yūsuf b. Mūsā al-Ḥanafī, al-Mu'tasar min al-mukhtasar (Hyderabad: 1362), 1: 174; al-Munāwī, 4: 292-3, nos. 5345-5347; al-Muttaqī l-Hindī, 5: 24, nos. 220-222; cf. al-Azraqī, Akhbār Makka, ed. F. Wüstenfeld, p. 258; Muhibb al-Dīn al-Ṭabarī, al-Qirā li-qāsidi ummi l-qurā, ed. Mustafā l-Saqā (Cairo: 1390/1970), pp. 306, 331; al-Ṭaḥawī, Sharh

tawāf equal to prayer, bade the faithful confine their conversation to good talk. During the tawat the Prophet invoked God saying. "Our Lord, give to us in this world and in the world to come and guard us against the chastisement of Fire" (Our'an 2:201). This verse was recited as an invocation by some of the Companions.<sup>69</sup> Some of the invocations were extended and included praises of God. assertion of His oneness and omnipotence as they were uttered by the angels, by Adam, Abraham and the Prophet while they went past various parts of the Ka'ba during the tawat. The pious Ibn 'Umar and Ibn 'Abbas are said to have performed the tawāf refraining from talk altogether. Tāwūs and Mujāhid circumambulated in solemnity and awe "as if there were birds on their heads."72 This was, of course, in the spirit of the imitatio prophetarum: Wahb b. Munabbih reported on the authority of Ka'b that three hundred Messengers (the last among whom was Muhammad) and twelve thousand chosen people (mustafan) prayed in the hiir facing the magam, none of them speaking during the tawaf, except to mention the name of God.<sup>73</sup> When 'Urwa b. al-Zubayr approached Ibn 'Umar during the tawaf, asking him to give him his daughter in marriage, Ibn 'Umar did not reply. After some time 'Urwa came to Medina and met 'Abdallah b. 'Umar. The latter explained that he had not been able to answer him because

ma'ānī . 2: 178 inf.

<sup>69</sup> al-Azraqī, p. 258; al-Fākihī, fols. 292a, 296a; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 5: 50, 52; al-Muttaqī l-Hindī, 5: 90, nos. 717-719, 722; al-Wāqidī, Maghāzī, ed. M. Jones (London: 1966), p. 1098; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 5: 84; Ibn Zuhayra, al-Jāmi' al-latīf (Cairo: 1357/1938), p. 124; Ibn Kathīr, Tafsīr, 1: 432-3.

<sup>70</sup> See e.g. al-Fākihī, fo. 296a, sup. (The Prophet urges the people to praise God and to extol Him during the tawāf; and see ibid., similar reports about some Companions); al-Azraqī, pp. 259 inf.-260; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 5: 51, nos. 8964-8965; al-Qastallānī 3: 170; al-Harbī, al-Manāsik wa-amākin turuqi l-hajj, ed. Ḥamad al-Jāsir (al-Riyād: 1389/1969), pp. 431-3; Muhibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, pp. 305-6; al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 5: 53-4.

<sup>71</sup> al-Fākihī, fol, 292a; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 5: 50, no. 8962.

<sup>72</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 292a-b; cf. Muhibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, p. 271.

<sup>73</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 292a, inf.

he "conceived that he faced God" during the tawāf (wa-naḥnu natakhāyalu llāha 'azza wa-jalla bayna a'yuninā). Now he replied and gave him his daughter in marriage. Merriment and joviality were, of course, forbidden and considered as demeaning. Wahb b. al-Ward, while staying in the hijr of the mosque of Mecca, heard the Ka'ba complain to God and Jibrīl against people who speak frivolous words around it. The Prophet foretold that Abū Hurayra would remain alive until he saw heedless people playing; they would come to circumambulate the Ka'ba, their tawāf would, however, not be accepted.

The concession in the matter of speech granted during the tawāf was "good talk." Pious scholars used to give guidance, exhort, edify and recount hadīths of the Prophet. Common people made supplications during the tawāf, asking God to forgive them their sins and to grant them Paradise, children, and wealth. It was, however, forbidden to stand up during the tawāf, and to raise one's hands while supplicating. "Jews in the synagogues practise it in this way," said 'Abdallāh b. 'Amr (b. al-'Ās) and advised the man who did it to utter his invocation in his council, not to do it during the tawāf. The fact that large crowds were gathered during the tawāf was, however, exploited by the political leaders. Ibn al-Zubayr stood up in front of the door of the Ka'ba and recounted before the people the evil deeds of the Umayyads, stressing

<sup>74</sup> al-Zubayr b. Bakkār, *Jamharat nasab quraysh*, MS. Bodley, Marsh 384, fol. 160b; al-Fākihī, fol. 292b; Muhibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, p. 270.

<sup>75</sup> See on him Abū Nu'avm, 8: 140-61; al-Fāsī, al-'Iad, 7: 417, no. 2678.

<sup>76</sup> al-Azraqī, p. 259; Abū Nu'aym, 8: 155 (the *tafakkuh* is explained as talking about women and describing their bodies during the *tawāf*); Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, p. 271.

<sup>77</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 292b.

<sup>78</sup> See Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Ṭabarī, p. 271, line 1: ... wa-anna hukmahu hukmu l-salāti, illā fīmā waradat fīhi l-rukhsatu min al-kalām.

<sup>79</sup> See e.g. al-Fākihī, fols. 311a-312a; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 3: 377, no. 6021.

<sup>80</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 296b; and see al-Azraqī, p. 257; Amīn Maḥmūd Khattāb, Fath al-malik al-ma'būd, 1: 200-2; Ibn Abī Shayba, 4:96; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 5: 72-3.

especially the fact that they withheld their payment of fay'.81 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn cursed al-Mukhtār, after his death, at the door of the Ka'ba.82

Some traditions narrate details of the behavior of certain persons in the tawaf who did not conform to this requirement of awe and solemnity in the holy place. Sa'id b. Jubayr used to talk during the tawaf and even to laugh.83 'Abd al-Rahman b. 'Awf was seen to perform the tawaf wearing boots and singing hida' tunes. When rebuked by 'Umar he replied that he had done the same at the time of the Prophet and so 'Umar let him go.84 Al-Fākihī records certain frivolous conversations which took place during the tawaf, which may indeed be considered coarse and were certainly out of place in the sanctuary.85 But groups of people engaged in idle talk during the tawat were reprimanded. 'Abd al-Karīm b. Abī Mukhāriq86 strongly reproved such talk; al-Muttalib b. Abī Wadā'a87 was surprised when he came to Mecca after a period of stay in the desert and saw people talk during the tawaf. "Did you turn the tawaf into a meeting place," he asked.88 The "arabization" of the tawaf is evident from an utterance attributed to the Prophet making it unlawful to talk in Persian during circumambulation. 'Umar gently requested two men who held a conversation in Persian during the tawaf to turn to Arabic.89 Reciting verses of the

<sup>81</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 296b.

<sup>82</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 296b.

<sup>83</sup> al-Azraqī, p. 259; Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī p. 273; al-Fākihī, fol. 293b, sup.

<sup>84</sup> Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 3: 244.

<sup>85</sup> See al-Fākihī, fol. 293a (the remark of Ḥusayn b. 'Alī about the buttocks of Mu'āwiya during the *tawāf*; and see fol. 294a: al-Sā'ib b. Şayfī and his talk with Mu'āwiya about Hind).

<sup>86</sup> See on him Ibn Ḥajar, *Tahdhīb*, 6: 376-378, no. 716; al-Fāsī, *al-'1qd*, 5: 480, no. 1856.

<sup>87</sup> See on him al-Fāsī, al-'Iqd, 7: 218, no. 2469.

<sup>88</sup> al-Azraqī, p. 260; Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, p. 278.

<sup>89</sup> al-Fākihī fol. 291b (dhikru karāhiyati l-kalāmi bi-l-fārisiyyati fī l-tawāf); see the tradition about 'Umar: 'Abd al-Razzāq, 5: 496, no. 9793; cf. al-Ţurţūshī, al-Ḥawādith wā-l-bida', ed. Muḥammad Talbī (Tunis: 1959), p. 104.

Qur'an during the tawaf in a loud voice was disliked and considered a bad innovation (muhdath); the Prophet is said to have asked 'Uthman to turn to dhikru llāh from his qirā'a. Nevertheless certain groups of scholars permitted the recitation of verses from the Qur'an.90

The problem of the reciting of poetry during the tawaf is complicated. The Prophet is said to have told Abū Bakr who recited rajaz verses during the circumambulation to utter allahu akbar instead. This injunction of the Prophet seems to have been disregarded. Ibn 'Abbās, Abū Sa'īd al-Khudrī and Jābir b. 'Abdallāh used to talk during the tawaf and recite verses.91 A report on the authority of 'Abdallah b. 'Umar says that the Companions used to recite poetry to each other (yatanāshadūn) during the circumambulation.92 The argument in favor of the lawfulness of the recitation of poetry during tawaf was based on the precedent of 'Abdallah b. Rawaha who had recited his verses during the Prophet's tawaf in the year A.H. 7 ('umrat al-qada'): Khallu bani l-kuffār 'an sabīlih ...93 Also during the tawāt 'Ā'isha discussed with some women of Quraysh the position of Hassan b, Thabit and spoke in his favor, mentioning his verses in defense of the Prophet; 94 Ḥassān, some traditions say, was aided by the angel Jibrīl in composing seventy verses in praise of the Prophet.95 Al-Nābigha al-Ja'dī recited his verses in the mosque of Mecca, praising Ibn al-Zubayr and asking for his help at a time of drought.96 Ibn al-Zubayr asked, during the tawaf, a son of Khalid

<sup>90</sup> Ibn Abī Shayba, 4: 10; Al-Azraqī, p. 258; al-Fākihī, fols. 295b-296a; and see the survey of the different opinions: Ibn Zuhayra, pp. 129-30; al-Majlisī, 99: 209, no. 19.

<sup>91</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 307b.

<sup>92</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 307b.

<sup>93</sup> al-Wāqidī, p. 736; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 8: 130; al-Fākihī, fol. 307a; al-Muttaqī l-Hindī, 5: 95, no. 745.

<sup>94</sup> al-Azraqī, p. 257; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, *al-Istī'āb*, ed. 'Alī al-Bajāwī (Cairo: 1380/1960), 1: 347; al-Fākihī, fol. 307b.

<sup>95</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 307b.

<sup>96</sup> Maria Nallino, Le Poesie di an-Nābigah al-Ğa'dī (Rome: 1953), p. 137 (IX) (and see the references of the editor); al-Fākihī, fol. 307b inf. 308a.

b. Ja'far al-Kilābī to recite some verses of his father against Zuhavr (b. Jadhīma al-'Absī). "But I am in a state of ihrām." argued the son of Khālid. "And so am I." said Ibn al-Zubavr and urged him to recite the verses. He responded and quoted the verse: "And if you catch me, kill me ..." (Fa-immā ta'khudhūnī fa-atulūnī; wa-in aslam fa-laysa ilā l-khulūdi). Ibn al-Zubayr sadly remarked that this verse suited his position in relation to the Banu Umayva.<sup>97</sup> Sa'id b. Jubayr recalled having heard during the tawaf the verses of a drunkard who prided himself on the fact that he would not refrain from drinking wine even in old age.98 An old woman recalled verses composed about her beauty in her youth.99 There are moving verses composed by devoted sons, who carried on their backs their old mothers during the tawaf and supplications by women asking God to forgive them their sins. Poets had the opportunity to watch women doing their tawaf and composed verses extolling their beauty.<sup>100</sup> The wearing of a veil by women performing the tawat was the subject of a heated discussion among scholars who used as arguments the contradictory utterances attributed to the Prophet and quoted as precedents the tawaf of his wives.<sup>101</sup> Another important problem was whether men and women could lawfully perform the tawat together. According to one tradition women used to perform the tawaf together with men in the early period. The separation of women from men was first ordered by Khālid b. 'Abdallāh al-Qasrī. 102 Al-Fākihī remarks that this injunction was

<sup>97</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 307b; and see a different version of this verse Aghānī (Būlāq), 10: 12.

<sup>98</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 308a; and see the verses: Yāqūt, Mu'jam al-buldān, s.v. Amaj; and see Ibn Abī l-Dunyā, Dhamm al-muskir, al-Zāhiriyya, Majmū'a 60, fol. 8a (Sa'īd b. Jubayr changes the text of the verse from wa-kāna karīman fa-lam yanzī' into wa-kāna shaqiyyan fa-lam yanzī').

<sup>99</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 308a.

<sup>100</sup> al-Fākihī, fols. 307b-310a.

<sup>101</sup> al-Shāfi'ī, 2: 127; al-Azraqī, p. 260; al-Fākihī, fols. 296a-297a; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 3: 219-20; Ibn Zuhayra, pp. 133 ult.-134.

<sup>102</sup> al-Azraqī, pp. 265-6; al-Fākihī, fols. 299a ult.-299b; Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, pp. 319-20; al-Qasṭallānī, 3: 172-3; Ibn Ḥajar, Fatḥ al-bārī, 3: 384-5; Ibn Zuhayra, p. 127; al-Fāsī, al-'Iqd, 4: 273.

received with approval and people conformed to it until al-Fākihī's own time. Two other decrees of al-Oasri continued to be observed by the people of Mecca: takbir during the ceremony of tawat in the month of Ramadan and a special arrangement of rows of men around the Ka'ba.<sup>103</sup> The separation between men and women in the mosque of Mecca was carried out by the governor 'Alī b. al-Hasan al-Hāshimī as late as the middle of the third century by drawing ropes between the columns of the mosque; the women sat behind the ropes.<sup>104</sup> At the beginning of the third century (about 209) the governor of Mecca under al-Ma'mun, 'Ubavdallah b. al-Hasan al-Tālibi.<sup>105</sup> ordered a special time to be set apart for the women's tawāf after the afternoon prayer; men were not allowed to perform the tawaf at that time. This regulation was implemented again by the governor of Mecca, Ibrāhīm b. Muhammad about A.H. 260.<sup>106</sup> These changes in the ceremony of the tawat seem to point to a considerable fluctuation of ideas and attitudes among the rulers and the orthodox in connection with the sanctuary and the form of the tawāf.

The new arrangements, which were apparently meant to grant the *haram* more religious dignity and sanctity and to turn the *tawāf* into a solemn ceremony with fixed rules, may be compared with some peculiar customs practised in the early *tawāf*, as recorded by al-Fākihī. The passage given by al-Fākihī begins with

<sup>103</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 432a (and see ibid., fol. 439b, lines 5-7 and fol. 354b: dhikru idārati l-şaffi fī shahri ramadāna wa-awwalu man fa'alahu wa-awwalu man ahdatha l-takbīra bayna l-tarāwīḥi ḥawla l-bayti fī shahri ramadāna wa-tafsīru dhālika); al-Zarkashī, I'lāmu l-sājid bi-aḥkāmi l-masājid, ed. Abū l-Wafā Muştafā l-Marāghī (Cairo: 1385), p. 98; al-Fāsī, al-'Iqd, 4: 272, 276 sup.; al-Shiblī, Maḥāsin al-wasā'il fī ma'rifati l-awā'il, MS. Br. Mus., Or. 1530, fols. 38b-39a, 41b-42a.

<sup>104</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 443a; al-Fāsī, al-'Iqd, 6; 151, no. 2050 (quoted from al-Fākihī); idem, Shifā' al-gharām (Cairo), 2: 188 (quoted from al-Fākihī); Ibn Zuhayra, p. 300 inf. (quoted from al-Fākihī).

<sup>105</sup> See on him Wakī', Akhbār al-qudāt, ed. 'Abd al-'Azīz Muştafā al-Marāghī (Cairo: 1366/1947), 1: 257-258; Ibn Zuhayra, p. 297.

<sup>106</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 443a; al-Fāsī, al-'Iqd, 3: 247-8, no. 720 (quoted from al-Fākihī).

a rather cautious phrase; wa-qad za'ama ba'du ahli makkata, which clearly expresses a reservation on the part of the compiler. In the old times (kānū fīmā madā) when a girl reached the age of womanhood her people used to dress her up in the nicest clothes they could afford, and if they were in possession of jewels they adorned her with them; then they introduced her into the mosque of Mecca, her face uncovered; she circumambulated the Ka'ba while people looked at her and asked about her. They were then told "This is Miss so and so, the daughter of so and so," if she was a free-born person. If she was a muwallada they said: "She is a muwallada of this or that clan." Al-Fākihī remarks in a parenthetical phrase that people in those times had religious conviction and trustworthiness (ahlu dinin wa-amanatin) unlike people of his day, whose manner of belief is obnoxious (laysū 'alā mā hum 'alayhi min al-madhāhibi l-makrūha). After the girl had finished her tawaf she would go out in the same way, while people were watching her. The purpose of this practice was to arouse in the people the desire to marry the girl (if she was free-born) or to buy her (if she was a muwallada). Then the girl returned to her home and was locked up in her apartment until she was brought out and led to her husband. They acted in the same way with slave-maidens; they led them in the tawaf around the Ka'ba clad in precious dresses, but with their faces uncovered. People used to come, look at them and buy them, Al-Awzā'ī asked 'Atā' (apparently Ibn Abī Rabāh) whether it was lawful to look at maidens who were led in tawaf around the Ka'ba for sale; 'Aţa' objected to this practice except for people who wanted to buy slave-girls.<sup>107</sup> This report is corroborated by a story recorded by Ibn Abī Shayba, according to which 'A'isha dressed up a maiden, performed the tawat with her and remarked: "We may perhaps succeed in catching (literally: hunting) a youth of Quraysh" (scil. for the girl).<sup>108</sup> 'Umar is said to have encouraged the selling of slave-maidens in this manner.<sup>109</sup> All these reports – al-Fākihī's reference to "people with religious conviction and trustworthiness," al-Awzā'ī's inquiry, 'Aṭā''s answer, 'Ā'isha's story – seem to reflect  $taw\bar{a}f$  customs prevailing in the early period of Islam, in all likelihood during the first century of the Hijra. The reports indicate a certain informality and ease of manners. All this was bound to change if the haram was to acquire an atmosphere of sanctity and veneration.

The early informality and intimacy can be gauged from a number of traditions concerned with the daily behaviour of the faithful in the mosque of Mecca. Ibn al-Zubayr passed by a group of people who were eating their meal in the mosque and invoked upon them his benediction. Abū Nawfal b. Abī 'Aqrab<sup>110</sup> saw Ibn 'Abbās there eating roasted meat with thin bread; the fat dripped from his hands. A broth of crumbled bread used to be brought to Ibn al-Zubayr in the mosque. One day a boy crawled towards it and ate from it; 'Abdallāh b. al-Zubayr ordered the boy to be flogged. The people in the mosque, in their rage, cursed Ibn al-Zubayr.<sup>111</sup>

A similar problem was whether it is lawful to sleep in the mosque of Mecca. Scholars arguing for it quoted the precedent of the Prophet whose  $isr\bar{a}'$  took place (according to the report of Anas b. Mālik) from the mosque of Mecca where he had slept.<sup>112</sup> Another

<sup>108</sup> al-Muşannaf, 4: 410; Lisān al-'Arab, s.v. sh-w-f; Ibn al-Athīr, al-Nihāya, s.v. sh-w-f.

<sup>109</sup> Ibn Abī Shayba, 4: 411 ('Umar remarks, however, that girls should not be compelled to marry ugly [or mean; in text dhamīm; but probably damīm] men; "the girls like in this matter what you like," he said); cf. Ibn Ra's Ghanama, Manāqil al-durar fī manābit al-zahar, MS. Chester Beatty 4254, fol. 19b: qāla 'umaru: lā yuzawwijanna l-rajulu bnatahu l-qabīḥa fa-innahunna yarghabna fīmā targhabūn.

<sup>110</sup> See on him Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhīb, 12: 260.

<sup>111</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 355b: dhikru l-akli fī l- masjidi l-ḥarāmi wa-l-ghadā' fīhi; and see al-Ţurţūshī, pp. 106-8; al-Zarkashī, I'lām al-sājid, pp. 329-30.

<sup>112</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 355b.

argument in favor of sleeping in mosques was mentioned by Sulayman b. Yasar. 113 when questioned by al-Harith b. 'Abd al-Rahmān b. Abī Dhubāb: 114 "How do you ask about it, said Sulayman, knowing that the ashab al-sutta slept in the mosque of the Prophet and prayed in it." 115 Ibn 'Umar used to sleep in the mosque (of Medina) in the Prophet's lifetime. 116 When Thabit (al-Bunānī) consulted 'Abdallāh b. 'Ubayd b. 'Umayr<sup>117</sup> whether to turn to the amir in the matter of the people sleeping in the mosque of Mecca, 'Abdallah bade him not to do that, quoting the opinion of Ibn 'Umar who considered these people as 'akifun, people praying in seclusion. The pious Sa'id b. Jubayr used to sleep in the mosque of Mecca. 'Atā' b. Abī Rabāh spent forty years in the mosque of Mecca, sleeping there, performing the tawat, and praying.<sup>118</sup> In a conversation with his student Ibn Jurayi he expressed a very favourable opinion about sleeping in mosques. When 'Ata' and Sa'id b. Juhavr were asked about people sleeping in the mosque of Mecca who have night-pollutions they nevertheless gave a positive answer and advised them to continue to sleep in the mosque. In the morning, says a tradition, Sa'id b. Jubayr used to perform the tawaf, wake up the sleepers in the mosque, and bid them recite the talbiva.

These reports quoted from a chapter of al-Fākihī entitled Dhikru l-nawmi fī l-masjidi l-ḥarāmi wa-man rakhkhaṣa fīhi wa-man karihahu<sup>119</sup> give some insight into the practices in the

<sup>113</sup> See on him Ibn Ḥajar, *Tahdhīb*, 4: 228, no. 381.

<sup>114</sup> See on him ibid., 2: 147, no. 249; al-Dhahabī, 1: 437, no. 1629.

<sup>115</sup> al-Turtūshī, p. 105.

<sup>116</sup> al-Zarkashī, I'lām al-sājid, p. 307; al-Ţurtūshī, p. 105; al-Marāghī, Taḥqīq al-nuṣra bi-talkhīş ma'ālim dāri l-hijra, MS. Br. Mus., Or. 3615, fol. 50a.

<sup>117</sup> See on him al-Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 31, no. 430; Ibn Hajar, Tahdhīb, 5: 308, no. 524.

<sup>118</sup> Cf. al-Turtūshī, p. 105.

<sup>119</sup> Ta'rīkh Makka, fol. 355b-356a; al-Zarkashī, I'lām al-sājid, pp. 306-8, 317-18; Muhibb al Dīn al-Tabarī, pp. 659-60, nos. 30-31; al-Majlisī, 99: 240, no. 1; about the odious impurity which causes bad smells see al-Fākihī, fol. 357b, ult.-358a (dhikru irsāli l-rīhi fī l-masjidi l-harāmi); al-Zarkashī, I'lām al-sājid, pp. 313-14; cf. about a superstitious belief

mosque of Mecca in the early period of Islam and help us to understand the ideas about ritual and the sanctity of the haram current at the time.

Of special interest are some customs of tawāf and hajj which include hardships, rigid self-exertion and self-castigation. Tradition tells about people who vowed to perform the tawāf while crawling,<sup>120</sup> or fastened to each other by a rope,<sup>121</sup> or being led with a rope threaded through a nose-ring.<sup>122</sup> Tradition reports that the Prophet and his Companions unequivocally condemned these practices, prohibited them and prevented the people from performing the tawāf in this way. It is obvious that these usages reflected the Jāhiliyya ideas of self-imposed harshness, of vows of hardship and severe practices. These went contrary to the spirit of Islam which, while transforming it into an Islamic ritual, aimed to give the tawāf its own religious values. Ibn Ḥajar is right in tracing back the prohibited forms of tawāf to their Jāhilī source.<sup>123</sup>

Similar to these vows of self-exertion during the  $taw\bar{a}f$  are the vows of hardship during the hajj. The traditions tell about men who vowed to perform the hajj on foot. Some women vowed to perform the hajj walking, or with faces uncovered, or wearing coarse garments, or keeping silent.<sup>124</sup> The Prophet passed censure on

current among common people in Egypt: 'Alī Maḥfūz, al-Ibdā' fī maḍārr al-ibtidā' (Cairo: 1388/1968), p. 454.

<sup>120</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 297a; al-Azraqī, p. 261; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 8: 457, no. 15895.

<sup>121</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 297b; al-Azraqī, p. 261; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 8: 448, no. 15862; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 5:88; al-Qastallānī, 3: 173-4; al-Ḥākim, al-Mustadrak, 1: 460; Ibn Ḥajar, Fath al-bārī, 3: 386-7; Muhibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, p. 319, no. 73.

<sup>122</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 297b; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 8: 448, nos. 15860-15861, 11: 292, no. 20572; Lisān al-'Arab, s.v. z-m-m-, kh-z-m.

<sup>123</sup> Fath al-barī, 3: 386.

<sup>124</sup> al-Ţaḥāwi, Sharh ma'ānī, 3: 128-132; Yūsuf b. Mūsā al-Ḥanafī, 1: 260-2; al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 1: 351-2; idem, Ta'rīkh al-khulafā', ed. Muḥammad Muḥyī l-Dīn 'Abd al-Ḥamīd (Cairo: 1371/1952), p. 99; al-Shātibī, al-I'tiṣām (Cairo: n.d.), 2: 52; Baḥshal, Ta'rīkh Wāsit, ed.

these practices, emphasizing that God does not heed (literally: does r.ot need) vows by which people cause harm and suffering to themselves.

These practices recall certain customs observed by the Hums which therefore had to be abolished in Islam. It may however be remarked that some early Muslim ascetics or pious men used to perform the hajj on foot, or vowed not to walk under a shade during their hajj. It is true that the outer form of these practices recalls the old Jāhiliyya ones; there is however a clear line which has to be drawn between them: the devotional practices of the pious Muslims are different in their content and intention; they are undertaken out of a deep faith and performed for God's sake. These practices of the pious gained the approval of the orthodox circles and were considered virtuous. This attitude is clearly reflected in a hadīth attributed to the Prophet: "The advantage of the people performing the hajj walking over those who ride is like the advantage of the full moon over the stars." 126

Fasting on the Day of 'Arafa gave rise to another important controversy. The contradictory traditions and reports are arranged in Fākihī's compilation in two chapters: the one encouraging the

Gurguis 'Awwād (Baghdād, 1387/1967), p. 231; Ibn Sa'd, 8: 470; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 10: 76; al-Fasawī, fol. 157b; Ibn 'Abd al-Ḥakam, p. 294; al-Muttaqī l-Hindī, 5: 341, no. 2265, 449, no. 2507; Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, Musnad, 11: 7, no. 6714; al-Ṭayālisī, p. 112, no. 836; al-Ṭaḥāwī, Mushkil al-āthār, 3: 37-41; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 8: 438, no. 15825, 448, no. 15863; al-Fākihī, fols. 315a-b; Ibn Daqīq al-'Īd, pp. 310-11, nos. 791-793. (And see al-Fākihī, fol. 511b: the story of the woman who vowed to perform the pilgrimage in silence if God would help to reconcile the fighting factions of her tribe. Abū Bakr, ordering her to discontinue her silence, remarked: takallamī, fa-inna l-islāma hadama mā kāna qabla dhālika); al-Ṭūsī, Amālī (Najaf: 1384/1964), 1: 369.

<sup>125</sup> Ibn Abī l-Dunyā, al-Tawba, MS. Chester Beatty 3863, fol. 17b; Bahshal, p. 167; al-Khuwārizmī, Mukhtaşar ithārati l-targhīb wa-l-tashwīq ilā l-masājidi l-thalāthati wa-ilā l-bayti l-'atīq, MS. Br. Mus., Or. 4584, fol. 8a-b.

<sup>126</sup> al-Fākihī, fols. 321b-322a (dhikru l-mashyi fī l-ḥajji wa-fadlihi); al-Khuwārizmī, fol. 8b: wa-li-l-māshī fadlun 'alā l-rākibi ka-fadli laylati l-qadri 'alā sā'iri l-layālī.

faithful to fast on this day, the other reporting about Companions who refrained from fasting.<sup>127</sup> According to a tradition of the Prophet the sins of a man who fasts on the Day of 'Arafa will be remitted for a year;<sup>128</sup> another version says two years,<sup>129</sup> a third version a thousand days.<sup>130</sup> The list of persons who did fast includes also 'Ā'isha, who emphasized the merits of fasting on that day. The opponents who forbade fasting on that day based their argument on accounts and evidence that the Prophet had broken the fast on the Day of 'Arafa.<sup>131</sup> 'Umar,<sup>132</sup> his son 'Abdallāh and Ibn 'Abbās prohibited fasting.<sup>133</sup> In another version Ibn 'Umar stressed that he performed the pilgrimage with the Prophet and the three first caliphs; none of them fasted on the Day of 'Arafa. He himself did not fast, but did not explicitly enjoin either eating or fasting.<sup>134</sup> The

<sup>127</sup> al-Fākihī, fols. 528a-529a (dhikru şawmi yawmi 'arafa wa-fadli şiyāmihi; dhikru man lam yaşum yawma 'arafa makhāfata l-du'fi 'ani l-du'ā); Ibn Abī Shayba, 4: 1-3, 21, 3: 104: al-Tahāwī, Mushkil, 4: 111.

<sup>128</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 528a, ult.; al-Mundhirī, 2: 236, no. 1463; Ibn Abī Shayba, 3: 97; al-Ṭaḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 2: 72; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 4: 283.

<sup>129</sup> al-Fākihī, fols. 528a, inf., 528b; al-Ţabarānī, 1: 255, 2: 71; Baḥshal, p. 276; al-Mundhirī, 2: 236; 7 nos. 1461-1462, 1464-1465, 1467-1468; Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Ţabarī, p. 403; Ibn Abī Shayba, 3: 96-97; al-Ṭaḥāwī, Sharh ma'ānī, 2: 72; idem, Mushkil, 4: 112; al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 4: 267, no. 2; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā, 4: 283,

<sup>130</sup> al-Mundhirī, 2: 237, no. 1466; al-Fākihī, fol. 528b; al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 1: 231 (another version 1,000 years).

<sup>131</sup> Muş'ab b. 'Abdallāh, *Hadīth*, MS. Chester Beatty 3849/4, fol. 40a; Abū 'Umar, Ghulām Tha'lab, *Juz*', MS. Chester Beatty 3495, fol. 97a; al-Fākihī, fol. 528b; al-Shawkānī, *Nayl*, 4: 267, no. 4; al-Bayhaqī, *al-Sunan al-kubrā*, 4: 283-4; al-Suyūtī, *al-Durr al-manthūr*, 1: 231.

<sup>132</sup> al-Bukhārī, al-Ta'rīkh al-kabīr, 32, no. 1600.

<sup>133</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 529a; Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, al-'Ilal, 1: 286, nos. 1849, 1852; al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī, Mūdiḥ, 2: 338-9; al-Fasawī, fol. 61a; cf. Abū Nu'aym, 7: 164; Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, p. 404.

<sup>134</sup> Abū 'Ubayd, Gharīb al-ḥadīth 3: 4; al-Khatīb al-Baghdādī, Mūdih, 1: 434; al-Taḥāwī, Sharh ma'ānī, 2: 72; Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, p. 404 (and see ibid., p. 405 inf.); al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 4: 268; al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 1: 231; Ibn Kathīr, al-Bidāya wa-l-nihāya (Beirut, al-Riyād: 1966), 5: 174.

conciliatory interpretation assumed that the prohibition of fasting referred to the people attending 'Arafa; but people not present on that Day of 'Arafa may fast, and are even encouraged to fast. The reason given for not fasting on that day in 'Arafa was the care for the pilgrims, who might be weakened by the fast and prevented from properly performing the  $du'\bar{a}$  and dhikr, which are the most important aims of the pilgrims staying at 'Arafa. The property are the most important aims of the pilgrims staying at 'Arafa.

The transfer of some rites performed at 'Arafa to the cities conquered by the Muslims is of special interest. This practice was introduced in Başra by 'Abdallāh b. 'Abbas<sup>137</sup> and by 'Abd al-'Azīz b. Marwān in Fustāt.<sup>138</sup> On the Day of 'Arafa people used to gather in the mosques to invoke and to supplicate. When Ibn 'Abbās summoned the people to gather in the mosque he argued that he wished that the supplications of the people may be associated with those attendant at 'Arafa and that God may respond to these supplications; thus they would share God's grace with the attendants

<sup>135</sup> al-Ţaḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 2: 72; idem, Mushkil 4: 112; Abū Nu'aym, 3: 347; al-Fasawī, fol. 32b; al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 4: 267, no. 3; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā 4: 289; Yūsuf b. Mūsā al-Ḥanafī, 1: 152; al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 1: 231.

al-Fākihī, fol. 529a; cf. Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, p. 405, lines 3-7 (fasting on the Day of 'Arafa is not favored for people performing the pilgrimage; it is however encouraged for people not performing the hajj. See the compromise-recommendations of al-Mundhirī, 2: 238: "... there is nothing wrong in fasting, if it does not weaken him in his du'ā' ... for the pilgrims it is preferable to break the fast ..." See the story of Ibn Wahb, who broke the fast at 'Arafa because he was occupied by the thought of breaking the fast: al-Qādī 'Iyād, Tartīb al-madārik, 1, 430; and see on this subject: al-Shawkānī, Nayl 4: 269).

<sup>137</sup> See al-Quḍā'ī, Ta'rīkh, MS. Bodley, Pococke 270, fol. 67b (quoted from al-Jāḥiz's Nazm al-qur'ān); al-Qalqashandī, Ma'āthir al-ināfa fī ma'ālim al-khilāfa, ed. 'Abd al-Sattār Aḥmad Farrāj (Kuwait: 1964), 1: 129; Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, pp. 387 inf.-388 sup.; al-Fasawī, fol. 16a: ... ḥaddathanā abū 'awāna, qāla: ra'aytu l-hasana kharaja yawma 'arafa min al-maqsūrati ba'da l-'aṣri fa-qa'ada fa-'arrafa; al-Bayhaqī, al-Sunan al-kubrā 5: 117 inf.; see S.D. Goitein, Studies in Islamic History and Institutions (Leiden: 1966), p. 137.

<sup>138</sup> al-Kindī, Wulāt Mişr, ed. Ḥusayn Nassār (Beirut: 1379/1959), p. 72.

at 'Arafa.<sup>139</sup> Muş'ab b. al-Zubayr introduced this innovation in Kūfa.<sup>140</sup> Some pious Muslims participated in these gatherings, others considered them as bid'a.<sup>141</sup> The ta'rīf in Jerusalem is linked in some sources with 'Abd al-Malik, who is accused of having built the Dome of the Rock in Jerusalem in order to divert the pilgrimage from Mecca to Jerusalem, since 'Abdallāh b. al-Zubayr, the rival caliph in Mecca, forced the pilgrims to give the oath of allegiance. When the Dome of the Rock was built people used to gather there on the Day of 'Arafa and performed there the wuqūf.<sup>142</sup> So the bid'a of wuqūf in Jerusalem arose. Al-Turtūshī describes a gathering of the people of Jerusalem and of its villages in the mosque, raising their voices in supplications. They believed that four "standings" (waqafāt) in Jerusalem were equal to a pilgrimage to Mecca.<sup>143</sup> Ibn Taymiyya, of course, strongly censured this innovation.<sup>144</sup>

It is evident that the idea behind the  $ta'r\bar{\imath}f$  is that it is possible to transfer sanctity from 'Arafa to another sanctuary where the rites of 'Arafa are being performed on the same day, or that one may share in the blessing of 'Arafa through the performance of certain devotions at the same time as they are done at 'Arafa (as is the case with the supplications in the  $ta'r\bar{\imath}f$  mentioned in note 139 above), or the notion that two sanctities may be combined as indicated in the tradition about Zamzam visiting Sulwān on the night of 'Arafa.<sup>145</sup>

The idea of transfer of sanctity is clearly reflected in a

<sup>139</sup> al-Mawşilī, Ghāyat al-wasā'il ilā ma'rifati l-awā'il, MS. Cambridge Qq 33 (10), fol. 153a.

<sup>140</sup> al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 1: 231 inf.

<sup>141</sup> Ibn Kathīr, al-Bidāya, 9: 307; al-Turtūshī, pp. 115-16; al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 1: 231 inf.

<sup>142</sup> al-Qudā'ī, fol. 67b; al-Qalqashandī, 1: 129.

<sup>143</sup> al-Turţūshī, pp. 116-17.

<sup>144</sup> Majmū'at al-rasā'il al-kubrā (Cairo: 1323), 2: 57; Jamāl a-Dīn al-Qāsimī, Islāḥ al-masājid min al-bida' wa-l-'awā'id (Cairo: 1341), p. 215 (from Ibn Taymiyya).

<sup>145</sup> al-Muqaddasī, Aḥsan al-taqāsīm, ed. M.J. de Goeje (Leiden: 1906), p. 171, line 11.

peculiar Shī'i tradition in which a Shī'i adherent asks the imām Ja'far al-Şādiq whether he may perform the ta'rīf on the grave of Husayn if the opportunity to perform the hajj (scil. to Mecca) escapes him. The imām enumerates in his answer the rewards for visiting the grave of al-Husayn on common days and those for visits on feasts, emphasizing that these rewards are multiplied for a visit on the Day of 'Arafa. This visit is equal in rewards with a thousand pious pilgrimages to Mecca and a thousand 'umra accepted by God and a thousand military campaigns fought on the side of a prophet or a just imām. The adherent then asked, how he could get a reward similar to that of the mawgif (of 'Arafa). The imam looked at him as if roused to anger and said: "The believer who comes to the grave of al-Husayn on the Day of 'Arafa, washes in the Euphrates and directs himself to the grave, he will be rewarded for every step as if he had performed a hajj with all due rites." The transmitter recalls that the imām did say: "and [took part in] a military campaign.146

Some changes of ritual were attributed to the Umayyads and sharply criticized by orthodox scholars. A number of innovations of this kind are said to have been introduced by Mu'āwiya. It was he who refrained from the takbīr on the Day of 'Arafa, because 'Alī used to practise it.<sup>147</sup> He forbade the loud recitation of the talbiya at 'Arafāt, and people obeyed his order; then Ibn 'Abbās ostentatiously came forth and uttered the talbiya loudly.<sup>148</sup> It was Mu'āwiya who transformed a place where the Prophet had urinated into a place of prayer, <sup>149</sup> and invented (aḥdatha) the adhān in the ṣalāt al-'īdayn. <sup>150</sup> He changed the order of the ceremony of the 'īd

<sup>146</sup> Ibn Bābawayh, Amālī l-şadūq (Najaf: 1389/1970), pp. 126-7.

<sup>147</sup> al-Fākihī, fol. 529a.

<sup>148</sup> Muḥibb al-Dīn al-Tabarī, p. 403; al-Ḥākim, al-Mustadrak, 1: 464 inf.-465; al-Muttaqī al-Hindī, 5: 79, nos. 646, 648.

<sup>149</sup> Muhibb al-Dīn al-Tabari, p. 417; Amīn Mahmūd Khattāb, Fath al-malik al-ma'būd, 2: 59 inf.-60, lines 1-7; al-Fākihī, fol. 531a, sup.

<sup>150</sup> al-Suyūtī, Ta'rīkh al-khulafā', p. 200.

al- $adh\bar{a}$  and ordered the *khutba* to be delivered before the prayer.<sup>151</sup> He was also the one who banned the *tamattu'* pilgrimages.<sup>152</sup> Changes of this kind were recorded as wicked innovations of the impious Umayyad rulers.

The inconsistencies of the usages, customs and ritual practices of the early period of Islam are reflected in almost every subject dealt with in the early sources of  $had\bar{\iota}th$ . Opinions divergent and contradictory are expressed about the sutra which has to be put in front of the praying Muslim and whether a dog or a donkey or a woman passing by invalidates the prayer. Scholars differ in their opinions as to whether the form of sitting during the prayer called  $iq'\bar{a}'$  is permitted, whether the prayer by a believer clad in one garment (thawb) is valid, and whether counting of the  $tasb\bar{\iota}h$  by pebbles is allowed.

Some of the subjects dealt with in the early hadīths lost their actuality and relevance. It is however a special feature of Muslim hadīth literature and hadīth criticism that some of these themes reappear and are discussed even in our days. Thus, for instance, the contemporary scholar Nāṣir al-Dīn al-Albānī examines

<sup>151</sup> al-Shiblī, Maḥāsin al-wasā'il, fol. 120a; al-Suyūţī, Ta'rīkh al-khulafā', p. 200.

<sup>152</sup> al-Muttaqī al-Hindī, 5: 88, no. 708; al-Shiblī, *Maḥāsin al-wasā'il*, fol. 119b (and see above notes 48, 50); and cf. the wicked innovations of al-Ḥajjāj: Abū Tālib al-Makkī, 2: 53-4.

<sup>153</sup> al-Ḥākim, al-Mustadrak, 1: 251-2; Nūr al-Dīn al-Haythamī, 2: 59-62; al-Fākihī, fol. 481a inf.; al-Fasawī, fol. 217b; Ibn Abī Shayba, 1: 276-83; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 2: 9-38, nos. 2272-2396; al-Ṭaḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 1: 458-64; al-Muttaqī l-Hindī, 8: 132-8, nos. 946-989; al-Zarkashī, al-Ijāba, pp. 66, 84.

<sup>154</sup> Ibn Abī Shayba, 1: 285; 'Abd al-Razzāq, 2: 190-7, nos. 3024-3053; and see Ibn al-Athīr, *al-Nihāya*, s.v. q-'-a, '-q-b.

<sup>155</sup> al-Ţaḥāwī, Sharḥ ma'ānī, 1: 377-83; al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 2: 83-4; Ibn Abī Shayba, 1: 310-15.

<sup>156</sup> Ibn Abī Shayba, 2: 389-91; Ibn Abī 1-Ḥadīd, Sharḥ nahj al-balāgha, ed. Muḥammad Abū 1-Fadl Ibrāhīm (Cairo: 1964), 18: 164; and cf. Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, al-'Ilal, 1: 325, no. 2122; Sa'īd b. Jubayr throws out the pebbles with which a woman counted her circlings during the the tawāf.

the tradition prohibiting fasting on the Day of 'Arafa for people attending 'Arafa.<sup>157</sup> He carefully analyzes the *isnāds*, finding out their faults; he harshly reprimands al-Hākim for his heedlessness in considering the *hadīth* sound and states that the *hadīth* is in fact weak. He argues that the *hadīth* about the forgiveness of sins for a period of two years for him who fasts on the Day of 'Arafa is a sound tradition; but the attached phrase about the rewards for fasting on every day of Muharram is a forged one.<sup>158</sup> An exhaustive scrutiny of *hadīth*s about the counting of *tasbīh* by pebbles is included by al-Albānī in the examination of the *hadīth* about the rosary (al-subha).<sup>159</sup>

Of interest are certain traditions in which some social and cultural, as well as religious, trends are exposed. Of this kind are the traditions in which the Prophet predicted that his community would erect sumptuous mosques in the manner of Jewish synagogues and Christian churches, adorn them richly and embellish them with inscriptions. This will be the sign of decline of the Muslim community and portend the End of the Days. Traditions of the very early period of Islam reflect the opposition against arched mihrābs. "Beware these altars" (ittaqū hādhihi l-madhābih), followed by an explanatory comment, "he meant the mahārīb" (ya'nī l-mahārīb), says a tradition attributed to the Prophet. "My people will fare well as long as they will not build in their mosques altars

<sup>157</sup> Nāṣir al-Dīn al-Albānī, Silsilat al-aḥādīth al-ḍa'īfa wa-l-mawdū'a (Damascus: 1384), no. 404.

<sup>158</sup> Ibid., no. 412.

<sup>159</sup> Ibid., no. 83.

<sup>160</sup> al-Daylamī, MS. Chester Beatty 4139, fol. 27a (al-Daylamī adds: wa-kāna ibrāhīmu l-taymī lā yuşallī fī tāqi l-miḥrāb); al-Suyūtī, al-Khaṣā'iş al-kubrā, 3: 189; al-Munāwī, 1: 144-5, no. 153 reviews the different meanings of the word miḥrāb. And see the peculiar story of the Christian youth in the miḥrāb: al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, Ta'rīkh Baghdād, 9: 45; al-Turtūshī, p. 94; al-Baḥrānī, 7: 281-5; Maḥmūd Mahdī al-Mūsawī al-Khawansārī, Tuḥfat al-sājid fī ahkām al-masājid (Baghdad: 1376), pp. 111-16. And see R.B. Serjeant, "Miḥrāb," BSOAS (1959): pp. 439-53.

like the altars of the Christians," the Prophet foretold.<sup>161</sup> Pious men usually refrained from praying in these *mihrābs*.<sup>162</sup> Of the same kind were traditions against the adornment of mosques,<sup>163</sup> prayers in the *maqṣūra* of the mosque,<sup>164</sup> and against writing Qur'ān verses on the walls of the mosque, or in the *qibla* of the mosque.<sup>165</sup>

These traditions should, of course, be studied against the background of the reports about the sumptuous buildings which were erected by the impious rulers and their governors and the richly decorated jāmi mosques in which delegates of the rulers led the prayer. Many a time a pious Muslim had to ask himself whether he should pray behind them, as can be deduced from the numerous traditions dealing with this subject.

The few traditions reviewed in this paper clearly demonstrate the fluidity of certain religious and socio-political ideas reflected in the early compilations of hadīth, as already proved by I. Goldziher. The diversity and divergence of traditions expose the different opinions of various groups of Muslim scholars. The divergent traditions are faithfully recorded in the compilations

<sup>161</sup> al-Suyūtī, al-Khaṣā'iş al-kubrā, 3: 188-9; Ibn Abī Shayba, 2: 59; and see the careful evaluation of this hadīth by Albānī, Silsila, no. 448.

<sup>162 &#</sup>x27;Abd al-Razzāq, 2: 412, no. 3898-3902; the tradition about the altars of the Christians, no. 3903; Ibn Abī Shayba, 2: 59-60 (al-salāt fī l-tāq, man rakhkhaşa l-salāt fī l-tāq); Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal, al-'Ilal, 1: 64, no. 373.

al-Suyūtī, al-Khaṣā'iṣ al-kubrā, 3: 56-7; Ibn Abī Shayba, 1: 309; al-Suyūtī, al-Durr al-manthūr, 3: 217 inf.; al-Shaybānī, pp. 77-8; Abū 'Ubayd, Gharīb al-hadīth, 4: 225; al-Shawkānī, Nayl, 2: 167-70; idem, al-Fawā'id al-majmū'a, ed. 'Abd al-Wahhāb 'Abd al-Latīf (Cairo: 1960), pp. 25-7; Abū Tālib al-Makkī, 2: 51 inf.; Ibn Abī Jamra, Bahjat al-nufūs (Beirut: 1972 reprint), 1: 183; al-Samarqandī, Bustān al-'ārifīn (on margin of Tanbīh al-ghāfilīn) (Cairo: 1347), pp. 127-8; Yūsuf b. 'Abd al-Hādī, Thimār al-maqāṣid fī dhikri l-masājid, ed. As'ad Talas (Beirut: 1943), pp. 166, 170; al-Baḥrānī, 7: 277; al-Zarkashī, I'lām al-sājid pp. 335-8; Muḥammad Mahdī al-Mūsawī, pp. 87-92.

<sup>164</sup> See 'Abd al-Razzāq, 2: 414-16, nos. 3907-3913; al-Bayhaqī, *al-Sunan al-kubrā*, 3: 238; Abū Ţālib al-Makkī, 2: 51 inf.; Ibn Sa'd, 7: 96.

<sup>165</sup> Ibn Abī Shayba, 2: 46; al-Turtūshī, p. 97; al-Zarkashī, *I'lām al-sājid*, p. 337; cf. Yūsuf b. 'Abd al-Hādī, p. 170.

of the second century of the Hijra with no obligatory conclusions imposed and no prescriptions issued.

This activity reflects a sincere effort to establish the true path of the Prophet, the Sunna, which the believer should follow.